

REGULARITY CRITERIA IN WEAK SPACES FOR NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

ZHIHUI CAI AND JIAN ZHAI

DEPARTMENT OF MAHTEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

czh@cms.zju.edu.cn, jzhai@zju.edu.cn

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a Serrin type regularity criterion on the gradient of pressure in weak spaces for the Leray-Hopf weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It partly extends the results of Zhou[2] to L_w^γ spaces instead of L^γ spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \Delta u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. Here $u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity field, $p(x, t)$ is a scalar pressure field of an incompressible fluid at the point (x, t) , and $u_0(x)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distribution is the initial velocity field.

The global existence of weak solutions in time was proved by Leray[8] and Hopf[9]. However, the answer to the problem of global regularity for the three dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations is not known. By weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, we mean the usual Leray-Hopf solutions:

Definition 1.1. A vector field $u = u(x, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ is called a weak solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, provided that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ (b) \quad & \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \\ (c) \quad & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{-u \cdot \Phi_t + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \Phi + (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Phi\} dx dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$ with $\operatorname{div} \Phi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

This work is supported by NSFC No.10571157.

Serrin[6] proved that if $u \in L^\alpha(0, T; L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is a Leray-Hopf weak solution with $2/\alpha + 3/\gamma < 1, 3 < \gamma < \infty$, then the solution $u(x, t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T])$. And then Sohr[10] solved the limit case $2/\alpha + 3/\gamma = 1$.

There are some regularity criteria in terms of p or ∇p for the whole space. In 2004, Zhou[2] established a final regularity criterion in terms of ∇p :

$$\nabla p \in L^\alpha(0, T; L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{\gamma} \leq 3, \quad \frac{2}{3} < \alpha < \infty, \quad 1 < \gamma < \infty$$

or $\nabla p \in L^{2/3}(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$, or else $\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ is sufficiently small.

Also in 2004, Kim and Kozono[1] obtained an interior regularity criteria in weak spaces in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ under the assumption that $\|u\|_{L_w^s(0, T; L_w^r(\Omega))}$ is sufficiently small for some (r, s) with $\frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{r} = 1$ and $3 \leq r < \infty$. They extended the criteria of Serrin[6] to the weak space-time spaces.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a regularity criterion in weak spaces instead of L^γ in Zhou[2]. Our main result is

Theorem 1.2. *Let $u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for $q \geq 4$, and let $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distribution. Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is Leray-Hopf weak solution of (1.1). If*

$$\nabla p \in L^\alpha(0, T; L_w^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{\gamma} < 3, \quad \frac{2}{3} < \alpha < \infty, \quad 1 < \gamma < \infty,$$

or $\nabla p \in L^\alpha(0, T; L_w^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is sufficiently small when $\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{\gamma} = 3, \frac{2}{3} < \alpha < \infty, 1 < \gamma < \infty$, or else $\|\nabla p\|_{L^{\frac{2}{3}}(0, T; L_w^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ is sufficiently small, then $u(x, t)$ is a regular solution on $[0, T]$.

Here $L_w^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the weak $L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -space

$$L_w^r(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{v \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) : \|v\|_{L_w^r(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \sup_{\sigma > 0} \sigma |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |v(x)| > \sigma\}|^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty\}$$

The Lorentz space $L^{p,r}$ is defined as follows. We have $f \in L^{p,r}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{p,r}} &= \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^*(t))^r dt/t \right)^{1/r} < \infty \quad \text{when} \quad 1 \leq r < \infty \\ \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} &= \sup_t t^{1/p} f^*(t) < \infty \quad \text{when} \quad r = \infty \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma, f) \leq t\}, \quad m(\sigma, f) = \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \sigma\})$$

We have the following properties which are useful in this paper, with equality of norms,

$$L^{p,p} = L^p, \quad L^{p,\infty} = L_w^p, \quad \text{when} \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

and

$$L^{p,r_1} \subset L^{p,r_2}, \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{r_1}{2} \leq r_2$$

Regularity criteria in weak spaces

In particular,

$$L^p \subset L_w^p.$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Taking $\nabla \operatorname{div}$ on both side of (1.1) for smooth (u, p) , one can obtain

$$-\Delta(\nabla p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_i \partial_j (\nabla(u_i u_j))$$

Therefore the Calderon-Zygmund inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \|\nabla p\|_{L^q} \leq C_1 \| |u| |\nabla u| \|_{L^q}$$

holds for any $1 < q < \infty$.

Multiply both side of equation (1.1) by $4u|u|^2$, and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + 4 \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|\nabla |u|^2\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p| |u|^3 dx$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p| |u|^3 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p|^{1/2} |\nabla p|^{1/2} |u|^3 dx \\ &\quad (\text{use Hölder's inequality with } p = 4, q = \frac{4}{3}) \\ &\leq \| |\nabla p|^{1/2} \|_{L^4} \| |\nabla p|^{1/2} |u|^3 \|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p|^{\frac{2}{3}} |u|^4 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} = \|\nabla p\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p|^{\frac{2}{3}} |u|^4 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\quad (\text{use inequality } \int |fg| \leq \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{p',1}}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty) \\ &\leq \|\nabla p\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |\nabla p|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \| |u|^4 \|_{L^{p',1}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \quad \left(p = \frac{3}{2}\gamma, p' = \frac{3\gamma}{3\gamma-2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} &= \sup_t t^{1/p} f^*(t), \quad \|f\|_{L^{p,1}} = \int_0^\infty t^{1/p} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ f^*(t) &= \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma, f) \leq t\}, \quad m(\sigma, f) = \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \sigma\}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $k > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\sigma, f^k) &= \mu(\{x : |f^k(x)| > \sigma\}) = \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \sigma^{1/k}\}) = m(\sigma^{1/k}, f) \\ \Rightarrow (f^k)^*(t) &= \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma, f^k) \leq t\} = \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma^{1/k}, f) \leq t\} = (f^*(t))^k \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla p\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^{p,\infty}} &= \sup_t t^{1/p} (|\nabla p|^{\frac{2}{3}})^*(t) \\
 &= \sup_t t^{1/p} (|\nabla p|^*(t))^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
 &= (\sup_t t^{\frac{3}{2p}} |\nabla p|^*(t))^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
 &= \|\nabla p\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^{\frac{2p}{3},\infty}}
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p| |u|^3 dx &\leq 4 \|\nabla p\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2} \|\nabla p\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^{\gamma,\infty}} \|u\|^{\frac{3}{4}}_{L^{p',1}} \\
 &\quad (\text{use Cauchy's inequality with } \epsilon) \\
 (2.4) \quad &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla p\|^2_{L^2} + C(\epsilon) \|\nabla p\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^{\gamma,\infty}} \|u\|^4_{L^{p',1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $4 < 4p' = \frac{12\gamma}{3\gamma-2} < 12$ as $1 < \gamma < \infty$.

Next, we want to estimate $\|u\|^4_{L^{p',1}}$.

Claim 2.1.

$$\|u\|^4_{L^{\frac{12\gamma}{3\gamma-2}}} \leq \|u\|^{4(1-\frac{1}{\gamma})}_{L^4} \|u\|^{\frac{4}{\gamma}}_{L^{12}}, \quad 1 < \gamma < \infty.$$

In fact, $u^{\frac{12\gamma}{3\gamma-2}} = u^{\frac{12(\gamma-1)}{3\gamma-2}} u^{\frac{12}{3\gamma-2}}$, using Hölder inequality with $p = \frac{3\gamma-2}{3(\gamma-1)}$, $q = 3\gamma-2$, one can easily obtain this inequality.

Claim 2.2. $\forall f \in L^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\forall 0 < p_1 < p < p_2$,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,1}} \leq C(p, p_1) \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} + C(p, p_2) \|f\|_{L^{p_2}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f\|_{L^{p,1}} &= \int_0^\infty t^{1/p} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^1 t^{1/p} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty t^{1/p} f^*(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\
 &\leq \sup_t (t^{1/p_2} f^*(t)) \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_2} - 1} dt + \sup_t (t^{1/p_1} f^*(t)) \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p} - 1} dt \\
 &= \frac{pp_1}{p - p_1} \|f\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}} + \frac{pp_2}{p_2 - p} \|f\|_{L^{p_2,\infty}}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that $L_p \subset L_p^*$, this completes the proof.

Let $q(\gamma) = \frac{12\gamma}{3\gamma-2}$, $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma < \gamma_2$. As $q(\gamma)$ is a decreasing function, $q(\gamma_1) > q(\gamma) > q(\gamma_2)$. Due to Claim 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|^4_{L^{p',1}} &= \|u\|^4_{L^{\frac{3\gamma}{3\gamma-2},1}} \leq C(\gamma, \gamma_1) \|u\|^4_{L^{\frac{3\gamma_1}{3\gamma_1-2}}} + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|^4_{L^{\frac{3\gamma_2}{3\gamma_2-2}}} \\
 (2.5) \quad &= C(\gamma, \gamma_1) \|u\|^4_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_1}{3\gamma_1-2}}} + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|^4_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_2}{3\gamma_2-2}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{\gamma} = 3$ (∇p is sufficiently small in $L^\alpha(0, T; L_w^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3))$).

Regularity criteria in weak spaces

Let $\gamma_1 = 1$, then $q(\gamma_1) = 12$. Use Claim 2.1, We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u|^4 \|_{L^{p',1}} &\leq C(\gamma, 1) \|u\|_{L^{12}}^4 + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_2}{3\gamma_2-2}}}^4 \\ &\leq C(\gamma, 1) \|u\|_{L^{12}}^4 + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|_{L^4}^{4(1-\frac{1}{\gamma_2})} \|u\|_{L^{12}}^{\frac{4}{\gamma_2}} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|u\|_{L^{12}}^4 = \| |u|^2 \|_{L^6}^2 \leq C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2$$

Apply (2.1) and Claim 2.1 to (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + 4 \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \| \nabla |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^2 &\leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p| |u|^3 dx \\ &\leq \epsilon C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2}{3}} (C(\gamma, 1) \|u\|_{L^{12}}^4 + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|_{L^4}^{4(1-\frac{1}{\gamma_2})} \|u\|_{L^{12}}^{\frac{4}{\gamma_2}}) \\ &\leq \epsilon C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C_1(\epsilon, \gamma) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C_2(\epsilon, \gamma) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u\|_{L^4}^{4(1-\frac{1}{\gamma_2})} \|u\|_{L^{12}}^{\frac{4}{\gamma_2}} \\ &\quad (\text{use Cauchy's inequality with } \delta) \\ &\leq \epsilon C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C_1(\epsilon, \gamma) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C_3(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2\gamma_2}{3(\gamma_2-1)}} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \delta \|u\|_{L^{12}}^4 \end{aligned}$$

After choosing suitable ϵ and δ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \leq C \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2\gamma_2}{3(\gamma_2-1)}} \|u\|_{L^4}^4$$

note that $\frac{2\gamma_2}{3(\gamma_2-1)} < \frac{2\gamma}{3(\gamma-1)} < \alpha$. Due to the integrability of ∇p , it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4}^4 \leq C(T) \|u_0\|_{L^4}^4.$$

Case 2: $\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{\gamma} < 3$ (∇p is bounded in $L^\alpha(0, T; L_w^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3))$).

Let $\gamma_1 = \frac{3\alpha}{3\alpha-2}$, then $\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{\gamma_1} = 3$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$\| |u|^4 \|_{L^{p',1}} \leq C(\gamma, \gamma_1) \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_1}{3\gamma_1-2}}}^4 + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_2}{3\gamma_2-2}}}^4$$

The same as **Case 1**, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + 4 \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \| \nabla |u|^2 \|_{L^2}^2 &\leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p| |u|^3 dx \\ &\leq \epsilon C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2}{3}} (C(\gamma, \gamma_1) \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_1}{3\gamma_1-2}}}^4 + C(\gamma, \gamma_2) \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12\gamma_2}{3\gamma_2-2}}}^4) \\ &\leq \epsilon C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + (C_2(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta_1) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2\gamma_1}{3(\gamma_1-1)}} + C_2(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta_2) \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2\gamma_2}{3(\gamma_2-1)}}) \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + (\delta_1 + \delta_2) \|u\|_{L^{12}}^4 \end{aligned}$$

Then, with suitable ϵ , δ_1 and δ_2 , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \leq (C_1 \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^\alpha + C_2 \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\gamma,\infty}}^{\frac{2\gamma_2}{3(\gamma_2-1)}}) \|u\|_{L^4}^4$$

As above, we can get (2.7).

Case 3: $(\alpha, \gamma) = (\frac{2}{3}, \infty)$ ($\|\nabla p\|_{L^{\frac{2}{3}}(0,T;L_w^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ is sufficiently small).
Taking the limit case in (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla p| |u|^3 dx &\leq 4 \|\nabla p\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |u|^4 \|_{L^{1,1}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla p\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \| |u|^4 \|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \epsilon C \| |\nabla u| |u| \|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \end{aligned}$$

The same as **Case 1**, after choosing suitable ϵ , then use Gronwall inequality, we can get (2.7).

This apriori estimate (2.7) is what we want. Then we use a result of Giga [5]:

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $u_0 \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s \geq 3$. Then there exists T_0 and a unique classical solution $u \in BC([0, T_0]; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, let $(0, T_*)$ be the maximal interval such that u solves (1.1) in $C((0, T_*); L^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $s > 3$. Then*

$$(2.9) \quad \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^s} \geq \frac{C}{(T_* - \tau)^{(s-3)/2s}}$$

with constant C independent of T_* and s .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We follow the method of Zhou [2]: Since $u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $q \geq 4$, due to Theorem 2.3 ($s = 4$), there exists a unique solution $\tilde{u}(x, t) \in BC([0, T_*]; L^4(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Since u is a Leray-Hopf weak solution, we have by the uniqueness criterion of Serrin-Masuda [6] [7]

$$u \equiv \tilde{u} \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T_*).$$

By the apriori estimate (2.7), and the standard continuation argument, we can continue our local smooth solution corresponding to $u_0 \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to obtain $u \in BC([0, T]; L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T])$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark The limit case $(\alpha, \gamma) = (\infty, 1)$ is not solved in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Kim, H. Kozono, *Interior regularity criteria in weak spaces for the Navier-Stokes equations*. manuscripta math. 115(2004), 85-100.
- [2] Y. Zhou, *On regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$* . American Mathematical Society, 134(2005), no.1, 149-156.
- [3] Jöran Bergh, Jörgen Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag Co.
- [4] Elias M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton University Press.
- [5] Y. Giga, *Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system*. J. Differential Equations, 62(1986), 186-212.

Regularity criteria in weak spaces

- [6] J. Serrin, *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 9(1962), 187-195.
- [7] K. Masuda, *Weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*. Tohoku Math. J., 36(1984), 623-646.
- [8] J. Leray, *Étude de divers équations intégrales nonlineaires et de quelques problèmes que posent lhydrodynamique*. J. Math. Pures. Appl., 12(1932), 1-82.
- [9] E. Hopf, *Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen*(German). Math. Nachr., 4(1951), 213-231.
- [10] H. Sohr, *Zur Regularitätstheorie der instationären Gleichungen von Navier-Stokes*. Math. Z. 184(1983), no.3, 359-375.